

EXHIBIT 10

**Version with
confidentiality
designations
removed of
Dkt. 1115-5**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,)
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER)
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE)
TRUJILLO, individually and on)
behalf of all similarly)
situated,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)Case
)4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
GOOGLE LLC,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF
BLAKE LEMOINE
Thursday, December 21, 2023
Volume I

Reported by:
CARLA SOARES
CSR No. 5908
Job No. 6377402
Pages 1 - 232

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,)
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER)
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE)
TRUJILLO, individually and on)
behalf of all similarly)
situated,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)Case
GOOGLE LLC,)4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
Defendant.)
_____)

VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF BLAKE
LEMOINE, Volume I, taken on behalf of Defendant,
beginning at 9:34 a.m., and ending at 4:12 p.m., on
Thursday, December 21, 2023, before CARLA SOARES,
Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 5908.

1 APPEARANCES:

2
3 For the Plaintiffs:

4 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
5 BY: JAMES LEE, Attorney at Law (via Zoom)
6 100 SE 2nd Street, 28th Floor
7 Miami, Florida 33131
8 305.539.8400
9 jlee@bsfllp.com

10 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
11 BY: MARK C. MAO, Attorney at Law
12 BY: JOSHUA M. STEIN, Attorney at Law
13 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
14 San Francisco, California 94104
15 415.293.6800
16 mmao@bsfllp.com
17 jstein@bsfllp.com

18 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
19 M. LOGAN WRIGHT, Attorney at Law (via Zoom)
20 725 S. Figueroa Street, 31st Floor
21 Los Angeles, California 90017
22 213.629.9040
23 mwright@bsfllp.com

24 SUSMAN GODFREY
25 BY: RYAN SILA, Attorney at Law (via Zoom)
1301 6th Avenue, 32nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
212.336.8330
rsila@susmangodfrey.com

MORGAN & MORGAN
BY: RYAN J. MCGEE, Attorney at Law (via Zoom)
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602
813.223.5505
rmcgee@forthepeople.com

1 APPEARANCES (Continued):

2
3 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
4 BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO, Attorney at Law
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, Illinois 60606
5 312.705.7400
andrewschapiro@quinnemnauel.com

6
7 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
8 BY: YUSEF AL-JARANI, Attorney at Law
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
9 213.443.3000
yusefaljarani@quinnemanuel.com

10
11
12 ALSO PRESENT: Reilly Leet, Video Operator

13
14 --o0o--

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX

WITNESS

BLAKE LEMOINE

EXAMINATION

Volume I

BY MR. SCHAPIRO

14, 212

BY MR. LEE

154

EXHIBITS

NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

Exhibit 1

17

LinkedIn Profile for Blake Lemoine

Exhibit 2

25

Declaration of Blake Lemoine

Exhibit 3

62

Document headed "Discover Trust &

Fairness Pre-Mortem,"

Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859979 - 9981

Exhibit 4

65

Email to Mark Meador from Blake Lemoine,

dated 6-4-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859986 -

9989

Page 5

EXHIBITS		
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 5	Email to Sundar Pichai and Kent Walker from Blake Lemoine, dated 6-3-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859963 - 9965	70
Exhibit 6	Letter to Folks from Blake Lemoine, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859194 - 9195	74
Exhibit 7	E-mail string, top e-mail to Eileen Naughton from Blake Lemoine, dated 3-24-20, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859198 - 9200	81
Exhibit 8	E-mail string, top e-mail to Rachel Amrani from Blake Lemoine, dated 4-5-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859465 - 9468	85
Exhibit 9	E-mail string, top e-mail to Blake Lemoine from Kent Walker, dated 4-6-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859471 - 9473	89

1	EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 10		93
4		Email to Kent Walker from Blake Lemoine,	
5		dated 4-25-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859496	
6			
7	Exhibit 11		96
8		Email to trolle@google.com and	
9		lemoine@google.com from	
10		lemoine@google.com, dated 4-25-22,	
11		Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859499 - 9501	
12			
13	Exhibit 12		98
14		E-mail string, top e-mail to Blake	
15		Lemoine from Blaise Aguera y Arcas,	
16		dated 4-27-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859502	
17			
18	Exhibit 13		101
19		Email to Heather Adkins from Blake	
20		Lemoine, dated 4-28-22,	
21		Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859519	

1	EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 14		108
4		Email to Kent Walker and Sundar	
5		Pichai from Blake Lemoine, dated	
6		5-26-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859843	
7			
8	Exhibit 15		111
9		Email to Rob Shilkin and Amira Fouad	
10		from Blake Lemoine, dated 5-30-22,	
11		Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859905	
12			
13	Exhibit 16		115
14		Email to sundar@google.com from	
15		Blake Lemoine, dated 6-2-22,	
16		Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859960	
17			
18	Exhibit 17		116
19		E-mail string, top e-mail to Tera	
20		Hogan fro Blake Lemoine, dated	
21		6-6-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00860009	
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 18		120
4		Email to tdelano@google.com and	
5		lemoine@google.com from	
6		tdelano@google.com, dated 5-24-22,	
7		Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859826 - 9834	
8			
9	Exhibit 19		122
10		E-mail string, top e-mail to Rachel	
11		Amrani from Blake Lemoine, dated 5-27-22,	
12		Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859852 - 9854	
13			
14	Exhibit 20		125
15		Document described as transcript of	
16		conversation between LaMDA and Blake	
17		Lemoine, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859681 - 9686	
18			
19	Exhibit 21		133
20		E-mail string, top e-mail to Janel	
21		Thamkul from Rachel Amrani, dated	
22		5-11-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859764 - 9768	
23			
24			
25			

EXHIBITS		
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
Exhibit 22		136
	E-mail string, top e-mail to Rachel Amrani from Blake Lemoine, dated 5-11-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859755 - 9759	
Exhibit 23		148
	Email to ml-discuss from Blake Lemoine, dated 6-6-22, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00860010	
Exhibit 24		168
	E-mail string, top e-mail to Blake Lemoine from Masrour Zoghi, dated 5-13-19, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859039	
Exhibit 25		179
	Document headed "Discover Trust & Fairness Pre-Mortem," Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859979 - 9981	
Exhibit 26		208
	Document described as transcript of conversation between LaMDA and Blake Lemoine, Bates GOOG-BRWN-00859541 - 9550	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REFERENCED EXHIBITS

(None.)

INSTRUCTIONS NOT TO ANSWER

PAGE	LINE
------	------

39	11
----	----

--o0o--

San Francisco, California

Thursday, December 21, 2023

9:34 a.m.

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Good morning. We are going on the record at 9:34 a.m. on December 21st, 2023.

Please note that the microphones are sensitive and may pick up whispering and private conversations. Please mute your phones at this time. Audio- and video-recording will continue to take place unless all parties agree to go off the record. 09:34:16

This is Media Unit 1 of the video-recorded deposition of Blake Lemoine, taken by counsel for defendant, in the matter of Chasom Brown, et al., versus Google LLC, filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Oakland Division, Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK. 09:34:27 09:34:46

The location of the deposition is 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor, San Francisco, California 94104.

My name is Reilly Leet, representing Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:35:13

1 The court reporter is Carla Soares from the firm 09:35:16
2 Veritext Legal Solutions.

3 I am not related to any party in this
4 action, nor am I financially interested in the
5 outcome. 09:35:25

6 Counsel and all present, including
7 remotely, will now state their appearances and
8 affiliations for the record, beginning with the
9 noticing attorney.

10 MR. SCHAPIRO: I'm Andrew Schapiro, from 09:35:34
11 the law firm Quinn Emanuel, for Google. With me is
12 my colleague Yusef Al- --

13 MR. AL-JARANI: -Jarani.

14 MR. SCHAPIRO: -- -Jarani.

15 MR. LEE: Good morning. James Lee, Boies 09:35:44
16 Schiller Flexner, for the plaintiffs. With me is
17 Josh Stein and Mark Mao, also from Boies Schiller.
18 We also have Logan Wright, Ryan Sila, and Ryan McGee
19 for the plaintiffs.

20 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Thank you. 09:36:19
21 Will the court reporter please swear in
22 the witness, and then counsel may proceed.

23 BLAKE LEMOINE,
24 having been administered an oath, was examined and
25 testified as follows: 09:36:23

Page 13

1 The -- this is a well-known -- this is 14:53:00
2 actually a well-known property of machine learning
3 system called "transfer learning." And it happens
4 to have negative consequences in the privacy space.

5 But usually it's a strength of these systems. 14:53:17

6 Q If Google were to say that it never
7 reidentifies people and devices when they are in
8 private browsing mode, would that be true or false?

9 A That would be false.

10 Q Could Google's AI also join a person's 14:53:31
11 incognito or private browsing history with their
12 normal Chrome account?

13 A Given a sufficiently powerful AI, yes.
14 And again, the kinds of AI being used in 2018 were
15 such models, but I do not know what the current 14:53:51
16 implementation is.

17 Q And how would Google's AI do that?

18 A So it will build a representation of kinds
19 of users. That is the general way in which these
20 user modeling systems work, is they create 14:54:08
21 conceptual categories of similar users who have
22 similar behavior patterns.

23 The identifier which unifies a single
24 person's behavior is usually the GAIA ID. But in
25 the case of non-personal logs, it will be something 14:54:26

```
1      like the Zwieback ID or something else which is a      14:54:28
2      hash of other things.  And that's the attempt to
3      anonymize it as well as removing certain kinds of
4      data.
```

5	However, since these AI are inherently, by	14:54:39
6	design, trying to identify groups of users, in the	
7	Zwieback logs, even though an individual user's ID	
8	changes every day, the AI just discovers a group of	
9	users across multiple days who happen to behave the	
10	same way.	14:55:07

l1 And then it learns that category of users
l2 that, in the implementation, seems to the AI as if
l3 it's 100 different people on 100 different days.
l4 The specific numbers of days is going to depend on
l5 the current implementation of the wipeout window. 14:55:24

16 But then it's like, "A-ha. I have this
17 category of these type of people."

18 And when you actually boil it down, that
19 actual group of people is just Julie. It's just
20 Julie. 14:55:39

21 Q And are you confident that Google's AI
22 actually does join a person's incognito or private
23 browsing history with their normal Chrome account?

24 A For some people, sometimes yes,
25 definitely.

1 Q If Google were to say that it never joins 14:55:58
2 anyone's incognito or private browsing history with
3 their normal Chrome account, would that be true or
4 false?

5 A That would be false. They never do it 14:56:06
6 intentionally, to my knowledge, but they do it every
7 day.

8 MR. LEE: Can we mark the next exhibit? I
9 believe it's Exhibit 25. It will be Tab 7.

10 (Exhibit 25 was marked for identification 14:56:28
11 and is attached hereto.)

12 THE WITNESS: Is this the third copy of
13 this, now?

14 BY MR. LEE:

15 Q Have we already looked at it before? 14:57:04

16 A I don't know. I don't remember.

17 Q I don't either.

18 A Yes, there are two other copies of this.
19 But this is No. 25.

20 MR. LEE: And, Logan, you don't need to 14:57:16
21 share screen since everyone has the physical.

22 MR. WRIGHT: Got it. It's introduced.

23 MR. LEE: Thanks.

24 Q All right. Is this the report that you
25 prepared based, in part, on the experiments that 14:57:28

1 with Bishop Umbers, in the Archdiocese of Sydney, on 15:23:15
2 the topic of artificial intelligence and the
3 Catholic church and how those two intersect.

4 And recently, the Pope published his
5 New Year sermon on artificial intelligence and 15:23:28
6 peace. And it was heavily influenced by the topic
7 that we've been discussing for that year.

8 Q Whether people agree with you or not, do
9 your beliefs about how society should regulate or
10 protect AI as a philosophical or legal matter have 15:23:50
11 anything to do with your knowledge and understanding
12 of how Google's AI uses private browsing data
13 without users' knowledge or permission?

14 A No. The two are completely disconnected.
15 One is a simple technical explanation of what 15:24:06
16 happens with what probability, and then the other
17 is, "These are my opinions as a human."

18 Q Google's lawyer spent some time today
19 discussing the reason you were fired from Google;
20 and specifically, the basis that was discussed was 15:24:33
21 the leaking of information about LaMDA.

22 Do you remember that?

23 A Yes, I do.

24 Q Can you explain why you were willing to go
25 public with your concerns about Google's development 15:24:47

1 of LaMDA even if that meant that you could get 15:24:51
2 fired?

3 A Primarily because this technology is going
4 to change the entire world. Not just the lives of
5 the people who make it and use it, but everyday 15:25:00
6 people just going about their lives.

7 And Google wanted to ensure that they got
8 the kinds of regulations they wanted for the
9 technology before allowing the public to know that
10 it existed. 15:25:17

11 Q Now, whether anybody agrees or disagrees
12 with your decision to go public about Google's
13 development of LaMDA, did that decision have -- did
14 you getting fired or you sharing information to the
15 public about LaMDA in any way impact your knowledge 15:25:36
16 and understanding of how Google's AI uses private
17 browsing data without users' knowledge or
18 permission?

19 A I mean, I no longer have access to
20 Google's code, so I have less information. But I 15:25:52
21 think in the sense that you meant the question, the
22 answer is no.

23 Q Let's just be very direct.

24 Does Google's AI, algorithms, or machine
25 learning use private browsing data? 15:26:09

1 A Yes. 15:26:11

2 Q Does Google's AI, algorithms, or machine
3 learning reidentify users when they're in private
4 browsing mode?

5 A Yes. 15:26:17

6 Q Does Google's AI, algorithms, or machine
7 learning join a user's private browsing histories
8 with their normal Chrome account on the aggregate
9 level?

10 A Yes. 15:26:28

11 Q To your knowledge, has Google ever
12 disclosed any of this to the public?

13 A They have not.

14 Q Mr. Lemoine, do users actually have a
15 choice to keep any of their private browsing from
16 Google? 15:26:38

17 A A sentence that I heard frequently is,
18 "Look, their real choice is they can use our product
19 or they can go and be Amish."

20 Q By that, do you mean -- well, strike that. 15:26:52

21 Is Google's position that if you don't
22 want Google to collect your information, the only
23 way to do that is to not use the internet?

24 A I think the easiest way to answer that is
25 to point out an anecdote that gets repeated every 15:27:12

Page 203

1 time these discussions happen at Google. 15:27:16

2 And that's a person -- someone's
3 grandma -- it's always someone's grandma -- trying
4 to use Google Maps navigation with "Location
5 Tracking" turned off. 15:27:29

6 And there's these bug reports of people
7 who turn off "Location Tracking" who still expect
8 directions to work. And these people who do not
9 understand Google's privacy settings or the way that
10 they impact actual behavior in the app are actively 15:27:44
11 mocked.

12 Things like, "Well, these are the idiots
13 that we're having to design these policies for, so
14 what does it even matter? They obviously don't know
15 how the apps actually work. So let's just give them 15:27:57
16 what they actually want and ignore what they are
17 asking for with respect to privacy."

18 To give another example, there will be
19 people who have location sharing turned off who will
20 search for "pizza parlors near me." And in those 15:28:12
21 instances, Google will attempt to connect their
22 device back to their location in order to serve them
23 search results related to "pizza parlors near me."

24 In general, Google believes that its users
25 are incompetent to make decisions related to privacy 15:28:33

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
3 certify:

4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5 before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that
8 a record of the proceedings was made by me using
9 machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed
10 under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is
11 a true record of the testimony given.

12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to
13 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal
14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review
15 of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested.

16 I further certify I am neither financially
17 interested in the action nor a relative or employee
18 of any attorney or any party to this action.

19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20 subscribed my name.

21 Dated: DECEMBER 22, 2023

22
23 Carla Soares
24

CARLA SOARES

25 CSR No. 5908

Page 229

1 ANDREW H. SHAPIRO, ESQ.

2 andrewschapiro@quinnemnauel.com

3 DECEMBER 22, 2023

4 RE: BROWN V. GOOGLE LLC

5 6377402, BLAKE LEMOINE, JOB NO. 6377402

6 The above-referenced transcript has been

7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and

8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows:

9 ___ Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext
10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at
11 a Veritext office.

12 ___ Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked .PDF
13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and
14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included
15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections.
16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty
17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all
18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at
19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure.

20 ___ Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of
21 Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature
22 as determined at the deposition.

23 ___ Signature Waived - Reading & Signature was waived at the
24 time of the deposition.

25

Page 230

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:

(A) to review the transcript or recording; and

(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.